FOR MYSORE STATE

G.O. NO. 3042 Rev.

Dated 31-10-53.

Land Revenue - Provisional demand statement - Revision thereof - (South Kanara) - Insertion of question in Jamabandi Check Memo - Proposals submitted to Government -

- - -

Madras. Board's Ref.No.F.4208/52-4. Dated:-24-2-1953.

- - -

Sri V. R. S. Sattianatha, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue, Madras.
Sri C. S. Krishnamoorthy, I.A.S., Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue.

- - -

Read:- From the Collector of Malabar, Ref.A5.17308/52, dated 18-5-52.
From the Collector of South Kanara, Ref.C.No.A5.16249/52. dated 23-6-1952.

- - -

Ref:- 1. B.P.No.5 Press, dated 9-2-1925.
(M) 2. Board's L.Dis.F.2091/52, dated 2-5-1952.

- - -

The Board in its proceedings No.5, Press, dated 9-2-1925 while reiterating the instructions already issued regarding the preparation of the provisional demand statements by the Karnams had impressed upon the Jamabandy officers to verify at the time of Jamabandy as to whether these instructions are properly understood and obeyed by the subordinate officers. In its reference second cited the Board has also communicated copies of the revised provisional demand statements approved by the Government. It has also been laid down therein that suitable instructions to the effect that a copy of the revised provisional demand statement to be furnished by the Karnam to the village headman at the commencement of each kist month should be issued. As the Jamabandi check memorandum prescribed for this district does not contain any questionnaire to this effect the matter is generally lost sight of at the time of Jamabandi. As a result of this these instructions are followed more in their breach than in their observance.

2. In the circumstances I suggest that the following questions may be ordered to be inserted in the Jamabandy check-memo after question No.39 under Section (IV) "Accounts relating to the collection of revenue A copy of the Jamabandy check memorandum is enclosed for reference.

39. A. PROVISIONAL DEMAND STATEMENT. (a) Has the provisional demand statement prescribed in B.P.No.5, Press dated 9-2-1925 and amended in G.O.No.879. Revenue dated 24-3-1952 been prepared by the Karnam for each kist and submitted to the Revenue Inspector along with the cultivation account due for the previous month?

(b) Has the Revenue Inspector checked the correctness of the statement and submitted it to the Tahsildar or the Dy.Tahsildar as the case may be with his cultivation abstract?

(c) Have the figures in the statement been correctly brought to the provisional demand statement No.V to be maintained in the taluk offi and has the statement been sent to the village Headman without undue delay for making collection?

- - -

REFERENCE:--

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board submits that in so far as the provisional demand statement has to be given by the Karnam to the Village Headman and in so far as, without it, it has opined that the Village Munsiff will really be put to some difficulty, there seems to be no objection include the question, as proposed by the Collector of South Kanar and it therefore, recommends that an additional question as sugge by the Collector of South Kanara be included as No.39 in the Jamabandi Check memo.

To The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Madras with enels - Paras 1 & 2 only.

The Collector of Malabar - Para 3 only.

R.F. XVI-27 (c)—3,656—15-6-51.

JAMABANDI CHECK MEMORANDUM FOR SOUTH KANARA.

Village_____

Shanbogue's name_____

Potail's name_____

SECTION I.

PERMANENT REGISTER AND OTHER RECORDS.

Register A.

1. Have all subdivisions and other permanent changes entered in No. 3 account been duly noted in the remarks column or on the blank sheets of the register with the number and date of the order of sanction and also in the Taluk office copy?

1-A. Have new fields formed been noted not only against the original fields out of which they were formed but also at the end of the register with the various columns duly filled in.

1-B. Have all the fields entered in the Prohibitive Order Book referred to in B.S.O. No. 165 been noted in Register A as required in B.S.O. No. 15, paragraph 2? Were any of them assigned contrary to the instructions in the Prohibitive Order Book?

2. Are particulars regarding buildings, wells and the like noted in the remarks column?

3. Is enclosure I to register A up to date?

3-A. Whether sufficient lands have been set apart for communal purposes.

Register B (Inam Register).

4. Have all permanent changes entered in No. 3 account been duly noted with the number and date of the order of sanction in the Shanbogue's and also in the Taluk office copy?

5. Has the village B register been checked with the Taluk Register No. 9 and *inam jair register* in the Collector's office and have the differences been reconciled? (*For Collector's Jamabandi only.*)

6. Are there any inams or endowments requiring resumption or other action?

House-site Registers.

7. Are the house-site registers properly maintained? Where conditions of grant have not been carried out, what steps have been taken to enforce them?

Lands on leases.

8-A. Is the register of lands held on leases maintained properly? Are the long and short term leases written in different sections corresponding to those in Taluk Register B?

Register of Cowles.

8-B. Is the village cowle register maintained properly and up to date as compared with Taluk Register No. 13?

Register of Alienations.

9. Has the register of alienations been properly maintained? Does it contain all cases and has it been compared with the Taluk register of alienations (Register E) and found to agree?

10. Have the conditions of alienation been fulfilled and if not, what action has been taken in cases where the conditions of the grant have been violated?

Register of conditional assignments.

11. Is the village register of conditional assignments maintained properly and up to date? If so, is necessary action taken for breaches of any of the conditions of grant?

12. Was the village register checked with the entries in the remarks column of the adangal and with the Taluk office files and found correct?

13. Was the village register examined by the Revenue Inspector, Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar during their tours of inspection? Have they checked a fair percentage of the conditional assignments with a view to detect breaches of the conditions, if any?

13-A. *Register of sandalwood trees.*— Has the register been examined by the Revenue Inspector, Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar during their azmoish? Do they appear to have checked the trees intelligently?

SECTION II.

Demarcation and Field Maps.

14. Are stone registers and demarcation sketches or key maps properly maintained?

15. Number of stones.

Number to be checked by karnam in the year.

Checked by Shanbogue.

Verified by Revenue Inspector.

Verified by Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar.

15-A. Is the register of acknowledgments notices to pattadars under section 15 of e Madras Survey and Boundaries Act VIII 1923 maintained in the prescribed form ıd up to date?

B.—In grouped villages show separately for each village.

16. Number of stones requiring rene- ıl and repair.

Attended to by ryots.

Verified on ground by Revenue spector or Taluk Surveyor.

Renewed or repaired by Revenue spector or Taluk Surveyor.

Balance.

17. Number of subdivisions requiring ention including pervious year's arrear.

Number checkmeasured by Revenue spector or Taluk Surveyor during the ar.

Balance.

18. Has the stock of stones been ecked with the stone depot register by evenue Inspector and Tahsildar? Was e result correctly posted in the Taluk gister?

19. Has the Shanbogue produced his l set of survey instruments and village ips in good order?

20. Is the field measurement book oth Shanbogue's and the Taluk office py) maintained in good order and up to te. Are there any subdivisions unplotted either? Compare with No. 3 statement.

20-A. Have the defects pointed out in the District Surveyor's notes of inspection of the village maintenance records been properly rectified.

Verify the village maintenance records with the District Surveyor's notes of inspection and note the results.

SECTION III.

ACCOUNTS RELATING TO CULTIVATION, HOLDING AND THE DETERMINATION OF LAND REVENUE DEMAND.

Statement No. 1 (*Cultivation account*).

21. Is cultivation noted in the proper months? Is outturn properly noted?

22. Was a copy of this account sent to the Revenue Inspector by the 25th of each month?

23. Is this a selected village and, if so, has the Revenue Inspector checked the accuracy of the entries of cultivation each month in the cultivation season?

Enclosure II to Register A.

24. Items of miscellaneous revenue of a permanent or quasi-permanent nature. Compare with last year's account and see if sufficient explanation is forthcoming for the variation if any?

Enclosure III to Register A.

25. (*a*) I. Assessed waste—

(i) Wet .. .. ..

(ii) Garden .. .. ..

(iii) Dry .. .. ..

II. Bought in lands—

(i) Wet .. .. ..

(ii) Garden .. .. ..

(iii) Dry .. .. ..

III. Relinquished lands—

(i) Wet .. .. ..

(ii) Garden .. .. ..

(iii) Dry .. .. ..

IV. Unassessed .. ..

V. Poramboke .. ..

VI. Occupied dry lands .. ..

Statement No. 2 (Unauthorized cultivation or occupation of Government land).

27. Does this tally with enclosure III to register A and the previous year's statement?

28. Have all the cases of the previous year not brought to account this year been inspected by the Revenue Inspector? How many did the Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar inspect?

29. Has the occupation of waste and poramboke lands without permission been reported monthly and disposed of without delay?

30. Has the treatment of encroachments and the imposition of penalties been judicious? Was the assessment collected within thirty days after the amount had been fixed by proper authority and notified to the party concerned?

30-A (*a*) Have all temple porambokes been azmoished in the fasli?

(*b*) Has the list of encroachments on such porambokes been put up separately?

(*c*) Has action been taken to remove such encroachments other than those purely temporary ones connected with festivals or religious ceremonies?

NOTE.—The jamabandi officer should personally scrutinize the Tahsildar's orders in any village where there are numerous poramboke encroachments.

30-B. Enclosure "A" to statement No. 2.—Compare with last year's amount. Has the Revenue Inspector checked it when inspecting porambokes and noted if trees newly come to maturity have been brought to account? Note the result of the sales of usufruct of trees as compared with previous fasli. Were taxed trees and Government and cowle topes inspected by the Revenue Inspector, the Tahsildar or the Deputy Tahsildar? Compare the value of trees on darkhast lands in the year with that in the previous year.

Statement No. 3 (Changes in holdings).

31. Number of pending darkhasts.

Number of patta transfer cases pending.

Are there any cases pending unnecessarily? Has there been any delay or irregularity in the disposal of darkhasts, relinquishments or patta transfer cases or in the plotting of subdivisions?

32. Does it appear from the records of grants of land to members of the depressed classes that the question of granting temporary exemption from the payment of assessment on account of labour and expense entailed in bringing the land under cultivation has been duly examined in each case?

32-A. (*a*) Are the special register of changes in revenue registry and the subsidiary registers thereto maintained properly?

(*b*) Has there been any delay on the part of the karnam in reporting to the Tahsildar or the Deputy Tahsildar cases of changes of revenue registry?

(*c*) Were cases reported by the karnam disposed of promptly by the Tahsildar or the Deputy Tahsildar?

(*d*) Have the Revenue Inspectors and other officers made sufficient verification and check of the karnam's entries in the special register?

Total number of subdivisions.

(*e*) Has the karnam done the minimum verification work required of him?

Statement No. 4 (Beriz deductions).

33. Check with taluk account No. 10 and note the result?

Statement No. 5 (Remission).

34. Have cowle, freehold and increment remissions been correctly brought to account?

Statement No. 7 (Miscellaneous revenue).

35. Check with enclosure II to Register A Nos. 2 (enclosure " A " to statement No. 2), 5, 10-II and Taluk 11, forest cash chitta and register, Revenue Inspector's counterfoil books, orders passed on B Memos., and on any other special reports on quarry assessment, etc., and note the result. Compare with last year's statement and see if sufficient explanation is forthcoming for the variation.

Statement No. 9 (Cases).

36. Compare with Register B and No. 9 of last year.

Statement No. 10 (Chitta).

37. Have all the changes appearing in statement No. 3 been correctly carried out in section I and has section II been correctly prepared from section I and the other connected accounts?

38. In cases of assignments of lands subject to reservation of paths, channels, trees and the like but without subdivision, has the reservation been noted in the chitta as well as in the permanent A Register?

39. In cases of public and private topes granted under Board's Standing Order No. 19 and in cases of conditional assignment are the lands shown separately in No. 10 and not included in the grantee' ordinary ryotwari holding?

Statement No. 12 (Abstract of Settlement).

40. Has correct demand been shown in this account with reference to accounts Nos. 3, 3-B, 5, 7 and enclosure to No. 10-II? Note the total demand, the total collection and the balance up to date?

SECTION IV

Accounts relating to the collection of Revenue.

Statement No. 15 (Remittance list).

41.

Kist dates.	Date of remittance.

Statement No. 16 (*Kistbandi*).

42. Has No. 16 been sent with each remittance? Note when retained over five days in the Taluk office.

SECTION V.

Accounts relating to Birth's and Deaths, and to Cattle Morality.

Statement No. 19.

43. Have the birth and death registers been periodically checked? If so, when and by whom? Were any omissions noted?

44. Tabulate births and deaths in the following form :—

Population.	Births.		Deaths.		Reasons for marked variations from normal.
	In the year.	Rate per mille.	In the year.	Rate per mille.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)

Note.—The normal figures are—

Births 35 per mille.
Deaths 25 per mille.

45. Is this a village in which the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act (III of 1899) is in force? If so, are the registers prescribed properly maintained?

46. Is the cattle mortality register properly maintained? Has it been checked by the Revenue officers during their tours? If so, when and by whom? Were any omissions noted ?

SECTION VI.

Vaccination Register.

47. Is the register of unprotected children maintained in form No. 19-C and are the entries made in it then and there from the Birth Register?

48. Dates of vaccinator's visits.

Number vaccinated at each.

Number unprotected.

49. Have vaccination registers been periodically checked and if so, when and by whom?

SECTION VII.

ACCOUNTS RELATING TO MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS.

Cattle-pound Register.

50. Are the registers properly maintained? Have the fees collected been duly remitted to the taluk? Check with receipts and remittance chalans.

Register of inventory of articles of furniture supplied to village chavadies.

51. Have the inventories of the articles of furniture supplied to village chavadies maintained by village headmen been verified with the taluk register and found correct?

Civil and Criminal Registers.

52. Have the Village, Civil and Criminal Courts Registers been maintained correctly and up-to-date?

53. How many (*a*) Civil and (*b*) Criminal cases have been tried in the year? Was there any undue delay in disposal?

Register of suspects.

54. Are registers of strangers of suspicious appearance maintained? Were there any entries during the year?

54-A. Is the register of Emigrants prescribed in rule 2 to appendix 10 of Village Officers' and Ryots' Manual properly maintained?

Register of persons under police surveillance.

55. Are registers maintained of known depredators, notorious suspects, members of permanent criminal gangs and persons who have been ordered under section 565, Criminal Procedure Code, to report themselves to the police?

Statement No. 16 (*Kistbandi*).

42. Has No. 16 been sent with each remittance? Note when retained over five days in the Taluk office.

SECTION V.

Accounts relating to Birth's and Deaths, and to Cattle Mortality.

Statement No. 19.

43. Have the birth and death registers been periodically checked? If so, when and by whom? Were any omissions noted?

44. Tabulate births and deaths in the following form :—

Population.	Births.		Deaths.		Reasons for marked variations from normal.
	In the year.	Rate per mille.	In the year.	Rate per mille.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)

Note.—The normal figures are—

Births 35 per mille.
Deaths 25 per mille.

45. Is this a village in which the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act (III of 1899) is in force? If so, are the registers prescribed properly maintained?

46. Is the cattle mortality register properly maintained? Has it been checked by the Revenue officers during their tours? If so, when and by whom? Were any omissions noted ?

SECTION VI.

Vaccination Register.

47. Is the register of unprotected children maintained in form No. 19-C and are the entries made in it then and there from the Birth Register?

48. Dates of vaccinator's visits.

Number vaccinated at each.

SECTION VIII.

56. Has the village headman been furnished by the Commercial Taxes Department with a list of licences and assessees under the Madras General Sales Tax Act, 1939?

57. Are the receipted remittance Lists in respect of the Sales Tax maintained properly by the headman.

Are remittance lists for revenue under this Act sent with the collections to the Taluk Office not later than the last treasury day of every month?

Do the receipt books tally with the original copies of these lists which are with the headmen?

Were they checked by the Revenue Inspector and, if so, when?

58. Is the register of entertainments maintained properly and was an extract from it for each month sent to the Assistant Commercial Tax Officer not later than the 10th of the succeeding month?

SECTION IX.

59. State the qualifications of the village officers. Ought any of them to be replaced as being unpassed, too old or incompetent?

60. Have the instructions in B.P. Mis. No. 3009, dated 31st August 1936, about the insolvency and heavy indebtedness of Village Officers been considered in deciding their competency for the post and if so with what result?

SECTION X.

61. (*a*) Is the villagewar ledger in Form No. 27 maintained?

(*b*) Is there any delay in collecting the loan instalments?

(*c*) Are the collections made promptly remitted?

Compare the village loan register with the taluk loan ledger (Form No. 26) and note the result.

62. Have the pre-historic sites and protected monuments, if any, under the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act been inspected periodically by the Village Officers, Revenue Inspectors, Deputy Tahsildars, Tahsildars, as the case may be as ordered in G.O. Ms. No. 148, Education and Public Health Department, dated 21st January 1948. Are they preserved in good condition?

SECTION XI.

Civil Supplies.

63. Have the village procurement registers in Forms A, B and C prescribed in Board's reference No. 895/44-11, dated 26th July 1944 as subsequently modified in B.P. Rout. No. 920, dated 15th June 1946 and B.P. Rout. No. 1348, dated 8th October 1946 been written up by the Karnam up to date?

64. Have all agricultural fields shown in the adangal and their extents been entered in Register A without any omission and has the Firka Revenue Inspector or the Firka Supply Officer appended a certificate to that effect at the end of the register as required in paragraph 3 of B.P. Mis. No. 332/44, dated 2nd December 1944?

65. Has register "B" section I been checked with the entries in Register A and in section II of Register B from which it is posted?

66. Have the inspecting officers verified that the estimates of surpluses of producers have been worked out correctly by the Karnam and noted in terms of maunds or bags with reference to the normal yield in different blocks?

67. Have the inspecting officers verified that the allowances entered in section II of the B register are in accordance with the scales prescribed from time to time?

68. Has the special register of surpluses of paddy prescribed in Government Memo. No. 51267-A/47-1, dated 3rd October 1947 been maintained properly?

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25 AUG 1953
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

70602 C

25853 M

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Subject.

LAND REVENUE - [redacted] Provisional demand Statement - Revised form (South Kanara) - Insertion of question in Jamabandi Check Memorandum - Orders - Requested.

Station: Madras. Ref.F.4208/52-8 dated 19-8-53.

Sri W.R.S.Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue
Sri A.R.Rajaratnam, I.A.S., Joint Secretary.

Read: Board's Ref.F.4208/52-4 dated 24-2-53.
" " F.4208/52-5 dated 6-4-53.
" " F.4208/52-6 dated 15-5-53.

Ref:-

The Board invites the attention of the Government to its references read above and requests to be informed when the orders of the Government in the matter may be expected.

SMP.19/8.

For Joint Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of South Kanara.

Memo no: 1750/I/53-a. dtd. 15.9.53.

Sub: 'Land Revenue - Provisional Demand' statement - Revised form - (South Canara)
Insertion of a new question in the Jamabandi Check memo VI -xi

Ref: (1) From the Collector of South Canara
Ref. C. no. A5 - 16249/52-2 dated 23.6.1952 addressed to Bd of Rev
(2) From the Collector of South Canara
" " " " 4208/52-F dated 14.2.53.
" " " " 4208/52-F dated 15.4.53.

Quoted above, the Collector of South Canara has suggested that in the Jamabandi Check memorandum relating to South Canara the following question 39-A under section IV (Accounts relating to the collection of Revenue), a new question 39-A regarding the preparation of provisional demand statements by the Karnams may be inserted. It is however seen that from the copy of the check memorandum for South Canara submitted by the Collector, it is seen that section IV starts with question 41 and that if the new question is numbered as 39 A it will come under section III

(Accounts relating to cultivation & [illegible] and [illegible] determination of land revenue demand) and not under section IV. ~~It is presumed that~~ The Collector is required to check the Questions in the check memorandum have been renumbered and ~~that~~ Section IV now starts with question ~~the~~ ~~Collector of Surat has also~~ ~~requested~~ to

L.D.O.No. 215-53. S.Nagara Collectorate Office,
Kachcheri Road, Dated 7.10.53.

To

Sri A.Mahalingam Chettiar, B.A., B.L., The Secretary to
Collector of South [illegible] Government
Revenue Department
Fort St. George, Madras 9

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 12 OCT 1953 8430/C

Sir,

CENTRAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 11 OCT 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Land Revenue--[illegible] estate out--turned [illegible]
(o.) cases--Insertion of a new question in the Jamabandy
[illegible]--Report--submitted.

Ref: Govt. Mem. No. 275 J-1/53-1 dated 15.7.53

In reference to question No.53 under section V--
'Agriculture' relating to cultivation of 'Revenue' in the
[illegible] of this office Re. No.AF.10240-53 dated
[illegible] suitable for question No.53 under section VI 'Accounts
relating to cultivation, holding and determination of land
revenue head' The questions in the above have not been
answered.

Rev Dept.

Read. from the Board of Rev Ref. No. F-4208/52-4 dt 24-2-1953.

Govt memo no. 17501 C/53-1 dt 15-9-53.

from the Collector of S.K. L.Dis. 22015/53 dt 6-10-53.

3042 dt 31.10.53

The Govt accept the recommendation of the Board of Revenue that an additional question relating to the submission of provisional demand statement by Karnams should be inserted in the Jamabandi check memorandum relating to South Kanara Dt. ~~We, however, consider that the question should appropriately come under Section IV "Accounts relating to collection of Revenue" in the check memo-~~ and they accordingly direct that the following question be inserted as question no ~~24-A~~ 40-A under section IV in the Jamabandy check memorandum relating to

Form Various St.

Provisional demand statement

40-1 - (a) Has the provisional statement prescribed in B.P. Nos, Pres dt 9-2-1925 and amended in G.O. No 879 Revenue dt 24-3-1952 been prepared by the karnam for each kist and submitted to the Revenue Inspector along with the cultivation account due for the previous month

(b) Has the Revenue Inspector checked the correctness of the statement and submitted it to the Tahsildar or the deputy Tahsildar as the case may be with his cultivation abstract

(c) Have the figures in the statement been correct brought to the provisional demand statement No V to be maintained in the taluk office and has the statement been to the village Headman without undue delay, for

Memo. No. 17801/53 B — S-53

Land Revenue- Provisional demand statement - Revised form (South Kanara) Insertion of question in Jamabandi check Memo. proposals.

Bd of Rev. Ref No. F. 4208/52-4 dt 8-2-53

Memo. No. 17801/53 B — 5-53

Land Revenue Provisional demand statement - Revised form. (South Kanara) Insertion of question in Jamabandi Check Memo. proposals.

From the Bd. of Rev. Ref No. F. 14208/52-4 dt 29-5-53

The Collector of South Kanara has suggested that in the Jamabandi Check Memo relating to South Kanara after question No. 39 under section IV, Accounts relating to the collection of Revenue, a new question 39-A regarding provisional demand statement may be ordered to be inserted. It is however found from the copy of the Check Memo enclosed with the Bd's ref cited that if the question is numbered as 39-A it would come under Section III Accounts relating to cultivation, holding and the determination of Land Revenue) and not under section IV (i.e. Accounts relating to the

and should suggest asking the Board on a minor point. This is very unsatisfactory.

The file should be resubmitted and dated the 5.6.53. I shall hold the Dept personally responsible for any delay in resubmitting the file with these remarks.

I have arranged to see in the at (11). The file should be resubmitted with the file should be withdrawn pending the CE allowance

Resubmitted.

re Bd's office was consulted informally. But that office is unable to say which the questions in the statements were remembered & which section IV starts with question 40 now. It seems better to ascertain it from the collector. A draft memo to the collector is submitted for approval.

2/6/53 It takes my breath away to see the way in which this file has been delayed.

asked that this should be resubmitted this file not later than 5.6.53. the enclosure to the draft was sent still 15.6.53. Let this explain why the file not submit to file at all and what cause why this increment should not be stopped. this explanation should reach here by 15.6.53 positive

1. Before a reply can be sent to the CE's suggestion, the matter requires further examination. As the proposal, the Board to insert a question to check means in respect of 15 T. Karma debited only! Does it not apply to all districts in the [illegible]

Paras 3 and 4 on p ~~2~~

there

form J check memo in B.S.O Vol

Section IV of that form also relates

to "Accounts relating to the Collection of

Revenue" and it starts with question no 50. Then is already a

question 49-A in that form relating

to the provisional demand Statement

referred to in para 2 of the Collector's

letter under ref. But the form received

with the Board's reference in the CF

does not tally with the form found

in the B.S.O. and is apparently

peculiar to South Kanara dt. In the

circumstances the draft memo

attached put up below may be app.

Jamadar checkmemo. on the general checkmemo form in B.S.O. Vol. I, similar Enactment has been inserted under Section IV – "(Accounts relating to Collection of Revenue). The new Subject suggested by the Collector of S. K. in his letter at p. 1 cf. may therefore be inserted as Subject No. 40-11 under Section IV – Accounts relating to the Collection of Revenue A draft order is submitted for approval

14/1053

A alone does not tally with B on previous page. I want to know urgently whether in the general check-memo., the question relating to provisional demand finds a place when